

UCRL- 94585
PREPRINT

Angular Momentum Effects in
Pre-Equilibrium Cluster Emission

CIRCULATION COPY
SUBJECT TO RECALL
IN TWO WEEKS

J. Bisplinghoff
Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik
der Universität Bonn
and E-Division
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
and

H. Keuser
Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik
der Universität Bonn

This paper was prepared for submittal to
Physical Review C

April 1986

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

**LOAN
COPY**

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

ANGULAR MOMENTUM EFFECTS IN PRE-EQUILIBRIUM CLUSTER EMISSION

J. Bisplinghoff

Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik der Universität Bonn
and E Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

and

H. Keuser*

Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik der Universität Bonn

Abstract

The pre-equilibrium emission of alpha particles was studied by observing the precompound decay of the $^{65}\text{Zn}^*$ composite system. Several entrance channels were used, covering a range of angular momenta up to about 40 \hbar . A model interpretation is presented which does not employ cluster preformation assumptions or parameters. The results suggest that angular momentum conservation governs pre-equilibrium cluster emission to a large extent.

PACS 24.10.-i, 25.55.-e, 25.70.-z

NUCLEAR REACTIONS: Measured $^{61}\text{Ni}(\alpha, p)$, $^{61}\text{Ni}(\alpha, \alpha')$,
 $^{53}\text{Cr}(^{12}\text{C}, p)$, $^{53}\text{Cr}(^{12}\text{C}, \alpha)$,
 $^{51}\text{V}(^{12}\text{C}, p)$, $^{51}\text{V}(^{12}\text{C}, \alpha)$ inclusive
spectra, calculated pre-equilibrium spectra of
p and α .

*Present address: Gesellschaft für elektronische Informationsverarbeitung mbH, Oxfordstr. 12-16, D 53 Bonn

The pre-equilibrium emission of clusters has been used¹⁻⁶ as a probe to obtain information on the preformation of clusters in nuclei. In these studies, existing pre-equilibrium models were supplemented by assumptions pertaining to the behavior of preformed clusters in nuclei, e.g., cluster state densities, and various preformation probability parameters were introduced. Parameter values were adjusted to fit experimental data and compared to other sources of information on cluster preformation, such as the alpha decay of nuclei,⁷ reasonability of coalescence radii,⁵ and purely statistical coalescence.³ All of these approaches, however, neglected the influence which the composite system angular momentum might bear on the emission of clusters versus that of nucleons.

In order to investigate angular momentum effects, the (average and maximum) angular momentum at which a composite system is produced may be varied by appropriate choices of the projectile energy and mass. This comes at the price, however, of simultaneously varying the excitation energy, the number of degrees of freedom excited in the target-projectile fusion and the composite nucleus which is produced. As a consequence, several entrance channels are needed to disentangle the influence of one of these variations from that of any other, preferably entrance channels that will form one and the same composite system, as such a choice will minimize the influence of model parameters pertaining to the particular system, such as Coulomb barriers, level densities, etc.

For this purpose, we have supplemented existing pre-equilibrium data⁸ on the $^{65}\text{Zn}^*$ composite system with the spectra of charged particles associated with several additional entrance channels summarized in Table 1. In all of these experiments, conventional E-E detector telescopes were used, substituting Si detectors by NaI scintillators where necessitated by the observable ejectile energies. Monitor counters were employed to calibrate the telescopes against elastic projectile scattering and obtain absolute cross sections. Particle identification was generally better than 2% (peak to valley ratio). Additional experimental details may be found elsewhere.^{8,9,10} The particle spectra recorded at backward angles were used to construct the evaporative components of the data assuming an isotropic angular distribution. The results were well reproduced by a standard evaporation calculation^{11,12} and subtracted from the total angle integrated spectra to yield pre-equilibrium emission spectra free of any appreciable equilibrium contamination.

In order to conveniently compare cluster and nucleon spectra resulting from various channels with one another, the particle spectra were scaled with respect to ejectile energy. The scaling was designed to have the high energy ends and the evaporation peaks line up for any two spectra. It was used on both the experimental data and the calculated spectra so that it does not affect the agreement between the two in any way. Rather, it just provides a convenient way of presentation, as after scaling alpha and proton pre-equilibrium emission can be compared to one another by plotting emission cross section ratios

$$\sigma_c / \sigma_p = \frac{dc}{d\epsilon} (\alpha) / \frac{dc}{d\epsilon} (p) \quad (1)$$

as a function of the scaled ejectile energy (ϵ) and data pertaining to different entrance channels can be shown in a common diagram.

Fig. 1 shows experimental data obtained with the entrance channels listed in Table 1 and used in Ref. 8 in such a fashion. The (typical) experimental errors plotted include uncertainties introduced by subtracting out equilibrium components. The striking feature seen in Fig. 1 is that the pre-equilibrium emission of alpha particles compared to that of protons is strongly dependent upon the entrance channel mass asymmetry. Associated with the different mass asymmetries are markedly different ranges of angular momentum excited in the composite system, about 0-20 \hbar and 0-40 \hbar in the alpha and heavy ion induced reactions, respectively. It is tempting to see whether the different angular momenta can explain the different alpha to proton pre-equilibrium emission cross section ratios.

Closed formula pre-equilibrium models calculate particle decay rates by applying the reciprocity theorem to the ejectile under consideration rather than the composite system as a whole. When this concept is to be extended to cluster emission, it requires knowledge of the probability at which clusters are available in the composite system and at which they are suitably excited to be emitted into the continuum. Such information is not available on an a priori basis. We propose to avoid this problem by applying reciprocity to the composite system as a whole, much in the spirit of the evaporation model. This requires that all of the residual states considered be accessible from any of the states considered for the composite system. We assume strong configuration mixing to meet this requirement. As this assumption is also

made¹³ in the exciton model,¹⁴ it comes as no surprise that our formulation resembles a spin dependent exciton model formulation very closely. Two more assumptions are employed in order to facilitate numerical calculations. First, we assume that the orbital angular momentum of the ejectile (l) will always couple to the composite system spin (J_{CS}) so as to minimize the residual spin (J_R), i.e.,

$$J_R = J_{CS} - l \quad . \quad (2)$$

This is, perhaps, not unreasonable in view of the forward peaking of pre-equilibrium angular distributions observed experimentally. Second, we assume that the cluster to be emitted is entirely formed of excited particles (excitons) so that emission of a cluster of mass a_x from an n -exciton state will lead to an $(n-a_x)$ -exciton state in the residual nucleus. As a consequence, no pickup of nucleons from below the Fermi energy is considered.

Under these assumptions, the particle emission rate may be written as (see Table 2 for notation)

$$\lambda_{n,x}(\epsilon_x, J_{CS}, J_R) = \frac{2s_x+1}{\pi^2 \cdot h^3} \epsilon_x \mu_x c_{inv}^1(\epsilon_x) \frac{\rho_{n-a}(U, J_R)}{\rho_n(E, J_{CS})} \quad (3)$$

with $U = E - S - \epsilon_x$, $J_R = J_{CS}^{-1}$, $\sigma_{inv}^1(\epsilon_x) = \pi \lambda^2 (2l+1) T_1$

$$\rho_n(E, J) = \frac{g \cdot (gE)^{n-1}}{p! \cdot h! \cdot (n-1)!} \cdot \frac{2J+1}{2 \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma^3} \cdot \exp - \frac{J(J+1)}{2\sigma^2}$$

i.e., using Ericson¹⁵ type particle hole level densities and a spin dependence suggested by Williams.¹⁶ Considering only the particle emission decay channels, the pre-equilibrium spectrum arising from all n -exciton states of the composite system is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\epsilon_x}(n) = \sum_{J_{CN}} c_{J_{CN}} \frac{\sum_{J_R} \lambda_{n,x}(\epsilon_x, J_{CS}, J_R)}{\sum_x \int_0^E \sum_{J_R} \lambda_{n,x}(\epsilon_x, J_{CS}, J_R) d\epsilon_x} \quad (4)$$

with σ_{CN}^0 being the entrance channel partial fusion cross section. Obviously, the competition by internal nucleon-nucleon collisions is neglected completely in Eq. 4. This is not of crucial importance, however, as long as only ratios of cross sections for cluster versus nucleon emission are considered. It affects only the relative strength, with which the n_0 , n_0+2 , etc., stages contribute to the total result. In summing up these contributions, we have estimated their relative strength by a conventional pre-equilibrium calculation,¹² which matches the observed proton spectra. Variations of these relative strengths within reasonable limits affect the results only marginally, as do¹⁷ variations of the spin cutoff parameter (\hat{c}). The procedure used may be considered as effectively normalizing the calculation to the experimentally observed proton spectra.

The pre-equilibrium emission cross section ratios predicted by our calculations also depend on the initial exciton number (n_0) determined by the entrance channel. This influence has already been observed in earlier treatments.⁵ It is of comparable significance as that exerted by angular momentum conservation. We used $n_0=5$ and $n_0=12, 14$ for the alpha and heavy ion induced reactions, respectively. These values were obtained from conventional¹² pre-equilibrium calculations required to fit the proton spectra.

Fig. 2 compares the results of both a spin dependent and a spin independent calculation to the experimental data. Clearly, the inclusion of angular momentum conservation changes the model predictions by more than an order of magnitude and gives much closer agreement with experimental data than a treatment neglecting angular momenta. In view of the model assumptions given above, we estimate that a variation of calculated results within a factor of 2-4 has no significance.

Fig. 3 displays the total relative alpha and proton pre-equilibrium emission cross sections calculated as a function of the incident partial wave. Angular momentum conservation leads to a strong dominance of nucleon emission for low partial waves and an even stronger cluster dominance for high partial waves. The range of angular momenta excited in the heavy ion induced reactions extends far into that cluster saturation region, whereas in the alpha induced reactions the cluster domination region is hardly touched. Consequently, the alpha to nucleon emission ratios tend to be much higher for the heavy ion induced reactions, just as is found experimentally.

Angular momentum conservation, even if taken into account in a most basic form, strongly influences cluster versus nucleon pre-equilibrium emission. If it is included in model calculations, gross features of experimentally measured data are reproduced without any assumptions about preformation of clusters in nuclei. The inability of nucleons to carry off large amounts of angular momentum greatly reduces their emission in pre-equilibrium processes if high angular momenta are excited by the entrance channel. Pre-equilibrium emission of clusters is then greatly favored, and the similarity of the ^{12}C and ^{14}N induced data suggests that this is not attributable to an alpha substructure of the projectile.

Extended and more refined calculations in the framework outlined above are possible and present no conceptual difficulties. Extensions conceivable regard clusters other than alpha particles and additional entrance channels. The calculations may be refined by doing full angular momentum coupling, by explicitly including the internal decay rate competition and by exploring effects in changes in the state densities involved.¹⁶ There is no provision in our approach, however, for pickup type reactions, in which the emitted cluster is formed of excitons and nucleons below the Fermi energy. This mechanism may be dominant for nucleon induced reactions, for which inclusion of angular momentum conservation is less important.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

0852A-4/28/86

Table 1

<u>Entrance Channel</u>	<u>Composite System</u>
${}^6\text{Ni} + 50.7 \text{ MeV } \alpha$	${}^{65}\text{Zn}^* (E^*=52.5 \text{ MeV})^9$
${}^5\text{Cr} + 82.1 \text{ MeV } {}^{12}\text{C}$	${}^{65}\text{Zn}^* (E^*=77.6 \text{ MeV})^{10}$
${}^5\text{V} + 96.4 \text{ MeV } {}^{14}\text{N}$	${}^{65}\text{Zn}^* (E^*=92.2 \text{ MeV})^{10}$

Table 2: Definition of Symbols

s_x, μ_x, λ	spin, reduced mass and de Broglie wavelength of ejectile, respectively
σ_{inv}	partial inverse cross section
E, S, ϵ_x	composite system excitation, separation energy and ejectile channel energy
g	single particle level density
p, h, n	number of excited particles, holes and excitons, respectively
$\frac{\Lambda}{G}$	spin cutoff parameter as determined from compound reaction studies

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 Pre-equilibrium emission cross section ratios for alpha emission versus that of protons as a function of the scaled ejectile energy (ϵ). Symbols refer to the entrance channels listed in Table 1 and used in Ref. 8.
- Fig. 2 Pre-equilibrium emission cross section ratios as given in Fig. 1 compared to model calculations. Spin dependent calculations are indicated by the symbol χ .
- Fig. 3 Total pre-equilibrium emission cross sections σ_{LX} for alpha and proton emission as a function of the incident partial wave. Curves are calculated neglecting internal transitions and must be considered relative emission cross sections. They are drawn up to the grazing angular momentum.

References

- 1) L. Colli-Milazzo and G. M. Marazzan-Braga, Phys. Lett. 38B (1972) 155, G. M. Braga-Marazzan and L. Milazzo-Colli, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 6 (1973) 357, L. Milazzo-Colli and G.M. Braga-Marazzan, Nucl. Phys. A210 (1973) 297.
- 2) A. Chevarier et al., Nucl. Phys. A231 (1974) 64; A. Chevarier et al., Phys. Rev. C11 (1975) 886.
- 3) C. K. Cline, Nucl. Phys. A193 (1972) 417.
- 4) I. Ribansky and P. Oblozinsky, Phys. Lett. 45B (1973) 318.
- 5) H. Machner, Phys. Lett. 86B (1979) 129.
- 6) W. Scobel, M. Blann and A. Mignerey, Nucl. Phys. A287 (1977) 301.
- 7) R. Bonetti and L. Milazzo-Colli, Phys. Lett. 49B (1974) 17; P. Oblozinsky and I. Ribansky, Phys. Lett. 74B (1978) 6.
- 8) J. Bisplinghoff et al., Nucl. Phys. A269 (1976) 147.
- 9) G. Schirmer, Diploma Thesis, ISKP, Bonn University, unpublished, copies available on request.
- 10) H. Keuser, Ph.D. Thesis, ISKP, Bonn University, unpublished, copies available on request.
- 11) V. F. Weisskopf and D. H. Ewing, Phys. Rev. 57 (1940) 472.
- 12) M. Blann, OVERLAID ALICE, US ERDA Report C00-3494-29, (1976); M. Blann and J. Bisplinghoff, ALICE/LIVERMORE 82, Report UCID-19614, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (1982).
- 13) J. Bisplinghoff, to be published in Phys. Rev.
- 14) E. Gadioli and E. Gadioli-Erba, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 146 (1977) 265.
- 15) T. Ericson, Phil. Mag. Suppl. 9 (1960) 425.
- 16) F. C. Williams Jr., Nucl. Phys. A166 (1971) 231.
- 17) J. Bisplinghoff and H. Keuser, Proceedings of the Workshop on Coincident Particle Emission from Continuum States in Nuclei, June 1984, Bad Honner, W. Germany, ed. H. Machner and P. Jahn, World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd., Singapore (1984).




Fig. 1




Fig. 2





Fig. 3